Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.0 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Kristi Noem U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congesswoman Noem:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Andy Barr U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Barr:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.4 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John Fleming U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Fleming:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Malal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ralph Hall U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hall:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Blake Farenthold U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Farenthold:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Susan Brooks U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Brooks:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

IAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Cotton U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cotton:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mick Mulvaney U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Mulvaney:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Markwayne Mullin U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Mullin:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.2 B. M.L.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jim Bridenstine U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Bridenstine:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.Cel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable David Schweikert U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Schweikert:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Telal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

IAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Joe Wilson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Wilson:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Matt Salmon U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Salmon:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

IAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Hal Rogers U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rogers:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Kevin Cramer U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cramer:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

_\ = B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bob Gibbs U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gibbs:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

J& B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Trent Frank U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Frank:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

1.0 B. M.Cal

Acting Assistant Administrator



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Doug Lamborn U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lamborn:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

To this end, we welcome feedback and ideas from you as well as your constituents about how the EPA should develop and implement carbon pollution guidelines for existing power plants under the Clean Air Act. Interested stakeholders can send their thoughts through email at carbonpollutioninput@epa.gov. Stakeholders can also learn more about what we are doing at www.epa.gov/carbonpollutionstandard. I welcome you to provide a link to our website from yours, and to share any other information about the EPA's public engagement activities with the citizens of your state.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Malal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Paul Gosar U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gosar:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

As we consider guidelines for existing power plants, the EPA is engaged in vigorous and unprecedented outreach with the public, key stakeholders, and the states, including your state. The eleven listening sessions the EPA held throughout the country were attended by thousands of people, representing many states and a broad range of stakeholders, including many from rural areas. In addition, the EPA leadership and senior staff, in Washington, D.C. and in every one of our ten regional offices, have been meeting with industry leaders and CEOs from the coal, oil, and natural gas sectors; state, tribal, and local government officials from every region of the country, including your state; and environmental and public health groups, faith groups, labor groups, and others. Our meetings with state governments have encompassed leadership and staff from state environment departments, state energy departments and state public utility commissions. We are doing this because we want—and need—all available information about what is important to each state and stakeholder. We know that guidelines require flexibility and sensitivity to state and regional differences.

To this end, we welcome feedback and ideas from you as well as your constituents about how the EPA should develop and implement carbon pollution guidelines for existing power plants under the Clean Air Act. Interested stakeholders can send their thoughts through email at carbonpollutioninput@epa.gov. Stakeholders can also learn more about what we are doing at www.epa.gov/carbonpollutionstandard. I welcome you to provide a link to our website from yours, and to share any other information about the EPA's public engagement activities with the citizens of your state.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.4 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 1 6 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Todd Rokita U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rokita:

Thank you for your letter of October 23, 2013, co-signed by 38 of your colleagues, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy requesting that the EPA hold listening sessions in rural areas across the United States on reducing carbon pollution from existing power plants. The Administrator has asked that I respond on her behalf.

The EPA is working diligently to address carbon pollution from power plants. In June 2013, President Obama called on agencies across the federal government, including the EPA, to take action to cut carbon pollution to protect our country from the impacts of climate change, and to lead the world in this effort. His call included a directive for the EPA "to work expeditiously to complete carbon pollution standards for both new and existing power plants." Currently, there are no federal standards in place to reduce carbon pollution from the country's largest source. The President also directed the EPA to work with states, as they will play a central role in establishing and implementing standards for existing power plants, and, at the same time, with leaders in the power sector, labor leaders, non-governmental organizations, other experts, tribal officials, other stakeholders, and members of the public, on issues informing the design of carbon pollution standards for power plants.

As we consider guidelines for existing power plants, the EPA is engaged in vigorous and unprecedented outreach with the public, key stakeholders, and the states, including your state. The eleven listening sessions the EPA held throughout the country were attended by thousands of people, representing many states and a broad range of stakeholders, including many from rural areas. In addition, the EPA leadership and senior staff, in Washington, D.C. and in every one of our ten regional offices, have been meeting with industry leaders and CEOs from the coal, oil, and natural gas sectors; state, tribal, and local government officials from every region of the country, including your state; and environmental and public health groups, faith groups, labor groups, and others. Our meetings with state governments have encompassed leadership and staff from state environment departments, state energy departments and state public utility commissions. We are doing this because we want—and need—all available information about what is important to each state and stakeholder. We know that guidelines require flexibility and sensitivity to state and regional differences.

To this end, we welcome feedback and ideas from you as well as your constituents about how the EPA should develop and implement carbon pollution guidelines for existing power plants under the Clean Air Act. Interested stakeholders can send their thoughts through email at carbonpollutioninput@epa.gov. Stakeholders can also learn more about what we are doing at www.epa.gov/carbonpollutionstandard. I welcome you to provide a link to our website from yours, and to share any other information about the EPA's public engagement activities with the citizens of your state.

Please note that the public meetings we've been holding to date and other outreach efforts are happening well before we propose guidelines. When we issue the draft guidelines in June 2014, a more formal public comment period will follow, as with all rules, and more opportunities for public hearings and stakeholder outreach and engagement. I look forward to hearing what you think about the draft guidelines at that time, too.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bob Goodlatte U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Goodlatte:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

Jes B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jim Costa U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Costa:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 3.74



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steve Womack U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Womack:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

Jet B. Mille



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Peter Welch U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Welch:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

Jet B. Miles



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Robert B. Aderholt U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Aderholt:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.2 B. Mile



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mark Amodei U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Amodei:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Telal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Robert E. Andrews U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Andrews:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

_\+ B.T.L



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Lou Barletta U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Barletta:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.2 3.7.61



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John Barrow U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Barrow:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 C. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Dan Benishek U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Benishek:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

12 B. Male

Acting Assistant Administrator



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Kerry Bentivolio U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Bentivolio:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C3. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Gus Bilirakis U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Bilirakis:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Tell



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Diane Black U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Black:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 C. Teld



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Marsha W. Blackburn U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Blackburn:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Charles W. Boustany U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Boustany:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.0 C. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Kevin Brady U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Brady:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jim Bridenstine U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Bridenstine:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at B. Malel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mo Brooks U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Brooks:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12000



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Paul C. Broun U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Broun:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Michael C. Burgess U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Burgess:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable G.K. Butterfield U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Butterfield:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ken Calvert U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Calvert:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C3. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John Campbell U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Campbell:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. March



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Shelley Moore Capito U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Capito:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Mile



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John R. Carter U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Carter:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 C. 7-Ci



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steve Chabot U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Chabot:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

Lat Co. Tale



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jason Chaffetz U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Chaffetz:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

Ja B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Howard Coble U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Coble:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C . Tola



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Cole U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cole:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Males



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Chris Collins U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Collins:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Doug Collins U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Collins:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mike Conaway U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Conaway:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

_\ + B. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Gerry Connolly U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Connolly:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Miles



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Cotton U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cotton:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Rick Crawford U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Crawford:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C3. Talal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Paul Cook U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cook:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Henry Cuellar U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cuellar:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 - B C . Tola



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John Culberson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Culberson:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steve Daines U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Daines:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

120 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Peter DeFazio U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman DeFazio:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.8 B. Macal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jeff Denham U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Denham:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C3. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Charles W. Dent U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Dent:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ron DeSantis U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman DeSantis:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C. Talah



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Scott DesJarlais U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman DesJarlais:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C3. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mario Diaz-Balart U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Diaz-Balart:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jeff Duncan U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Duncan:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Malal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John Duncan, Jr. U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Duncan:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.7 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Renee Ellmers U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Ellmers:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Blake Farenthold U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Farenthold:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Malal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Chuck Fleischmann U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Fleischmann:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 C. Maler



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John C. Fleming U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Fleming:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

Ja B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bill Flores U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Flores:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Virginia Foxx U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Foxx:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Macal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Trent Franks U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Franks:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. 7-64



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Pete P. Gallego U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gallego:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Maca



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John Garamendi U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Garamendi:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. J. L.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Scott Garrett U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Garrett:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 C. Talal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jim Gerlach U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gerlach:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.0 B. M.Cal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Chris Gibson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gibson:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Phil Gingrey U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gingrey:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Tola



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Louie Gohmert U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gohmert:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Paul Gosar U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gosar:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Kay Granger U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Granger:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Graves U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Graves:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Gene Green U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Green:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tim Griffin U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Griffin:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 as C. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable H. Morgan Griffith U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Griffith:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. J. L.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ralph M. Hall U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hall:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 C. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Richard Hanna U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hanna:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Gregg Harper U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Harper:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Talal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Andy Harris U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Harris:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at Co. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Joseph Heck U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Heck:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jeb Hensarling U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hensarling:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

Jag B. Malel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jim Himes U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Himes:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

_\ +8 B. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ruben Hinojosa U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hinojosa:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable George Holding U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Holding:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. J. L.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Randy Hultgren U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hultgren:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 C. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Duncan Hunter U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hunter:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

_\+ B. Tole



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Robert Hurt U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hurt:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Darrell Issa U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Issa:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. M.Cal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Sam Johnson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Johnson:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Walter B. Jones U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Jones:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jack Kingston U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Kingston:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.iosh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

120 B. Maler



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ann McLane Kuster U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Kuster:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Malel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Raul Labrador U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Labrador:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.0 B. Maler



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Doug LaMalfa U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman LaMalfa:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Doug Lamborn U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lamborn:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

120 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Leonard Lance U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lance:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2314

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable James Lankford U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lankford:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C. Marcal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Frank A. LoBiondo U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman LoBiondo:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

_1 at C. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Billy Long U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Long:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ben R. Lujan U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lujan:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Toll



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Cynthia Lummis U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Lummis:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.0 C. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Kenny Marchant U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Marchant:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 C. Tola



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Marino U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Marino:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jim Matheson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Matheson:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2214

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Kevin McCarthy U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McCarthy:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C3. Tale



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Michael T. McCaul U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McCaul:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C. Marcal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom McClintock U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McClintock:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

_1+ C. ?-Cal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Patrick T. McHenry U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McHenry:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable David B. McKinley U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McKinley:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.4 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Cathy McMorris Rodgers U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman McMorris Rodgers:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Tale



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mark Meadows U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Meadows:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C. Malel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Patrick Meehan U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Meehan:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Maler



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mike Michaud U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Michaud:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jeff Miller U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Miller:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 C. 7-64



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jim Moran U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Moran:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. 7-64



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Markwayne Mullin U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Mullin:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mick Mulvaney U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Mulvaney:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Randy Neugebauer U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Neugebauer:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Richard B. Nugent U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nugent:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Devin Nunes U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nunes:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Told



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Alan Nunnelee U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nunnelee:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Pete Olson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Olson:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2314

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bill Owens U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Owens:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steven M. Palazzo U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Palazzo:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Macal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steve Pearce U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pearce:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Maler



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 & 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Scott Perry U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Perry:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-0 C3. Talal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Chellie Pingree U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Pingree:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Tale



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Robert Pittenger U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pittenger:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Maleh



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Joseph R. Pitts U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pitts:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Malel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ted Poe U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Poe:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Price U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Price:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2214

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Trey Radel U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Radel:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at Co. Take



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Reed U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Reed:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Macal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Rice U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rice:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at 3. Malel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 & 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Cedric Richmond U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Richmond:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Malel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Scott Rigell U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rigell:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.4 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Phil Roe U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Roe:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2214

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mike J. Rogers U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rogers:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Dana Rohrabacher U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rohrabacher:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Rooney U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rooney:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.0 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 & 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Dennis Ross U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Ross:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-0 C. 7-Cil



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Keith Rothfus U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rothfus:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Loretta Sanchez U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Sanchez:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. T. G.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Kurt Schrader U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Schrader:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable David Schweikert U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Schweikert:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.0 P. C. P.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Austin Scott U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Scott:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bobby Scott U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Scott:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Toll



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable David Scott U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Scott:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable James Sensenbrenner U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Sensenbrenner:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

7 to 6.7.cm

Janet G. McCabe Acting Assistant Administrator



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Pete Sessions U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Sessions:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.L.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bill Shuster U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Shuster:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 C. 7-CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mike Simpson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Simpson:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Lamar Smith U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Smith:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Chris Stewart U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Stewart:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 C. 7-CI



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bennie G. Thompson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Thompson:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.iosh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Glenn Thompson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Thompson:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.0 B. M.C.L



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mac Thornberry U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Thornberry:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 C. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable David Valadao U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Valadao:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2214

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Marc Veasey U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Veasey:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mace



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Filemon Vela U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Vela:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Timothy Walberg U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Walberg:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Greg Walden U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Walden:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 C3. Tale



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bill Posey U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Posey:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 C. 7-CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Randy Weber U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Weber:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 C. J.-C.L



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Daniel Webster U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Webster:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 C. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Lynn A. Westmoreland U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Westmoreland:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Roger Williams U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Williams:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Joe Wilson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Wilson:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2814

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Robert Wittman U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Wittman:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-0 B. Malel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Frank R. Wolf U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Wolf:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 & 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Robert Woodall U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Woodall:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 & 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ted Yoho U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Yoho:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Don Young U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Young:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Rodney Frelinghuysen U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Frelinghuysen:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 C. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Stephen Fincher U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Fincher:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 C. Tale



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mike Kelly U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Kelly:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 2 4 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Christopher H. Smith U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Smith:

Thank you for your letter dated October 30, 2013, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the 2014 volume requirements under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

On November 29, 2013, the EPA published in the *Federal Register* a proposed rule that would establish the 2014 RFS volume standards. In developing the proposed volumes, the EPA used the most recent data available and took into consideration multiple factors. Our analysis included an evaluation of both the expected availability of qualifying renewable fuels as well as factors that, in some cases, limit supplying those fuels to the vehicles and equipment that can consume them. On the basis of our analysis, we proposed to reduce the required volumes from statutory levels for 2014 for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel. We proposed to maintain the same volume for biomass-based diesel for 2014 and 2015 as was adopted for 2013, but we have requested comment on whether to raise the biomass-based diesel volume requirement.

I want to emphasize that this is a proposal, and that the EPA has requested comment on many aspects of the proposed rule, including the methodology for determining volumes. The EPA also expects to receive additional data before finalizing the rule. We will take your input under consideration as we, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of Energy, work towards finalizing this rule. Your letter has been placed in the rulemaking docket.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jeff Duncan U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Duncan:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

Before issuing this proposal, the EPA heard from more than 300 stakeholder groups from around the country to learn more about what programs are already working to reduce carbon pollution. These meetings, with states, utilities, labor unions, nongovernmental organizations, consumer groups, industry, and others, reaffirmed that states are leading the way. The Clean Air Act provides the tools to build on these state actions in ways that will achieve meaningful reductions and recognizes that the way we generate power in this country is diverse, complex and interconnected.

We appreciate your views about the effects of the proposal. As you know, we are currently seeking public comment on the proposal, and we encourage you and all interested parties to provide us with detailed comments on all aspects of the proposed rule. The public comment period will remain open for 120 days, until October 16, 2014. We have submitted your letter to the rulemaking docket, but additional comments can be submitted via any one of these methods:

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jeb Hensarling U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hensarling:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

Before issuing this proposal, the EPA heard from more than 300 stakeholder groups from around the country to learn more about what programs are already working to reduce carbon pollution. These meetings, with states, utilities, labor unions, nongovernmental organizations, consumer groups, industry, and others, reaffirmed that states are leading the way. The Clean Air Act provides the tools to build on these state actions in ways that will achieve meaningful reductions and recognizes that the way we generate power in this country is diverse, complex and interconnected.

We appreciate your views about the effects of the proposal. As you know, we are currently seeking public comment on the proposal, and we encourage you and all interested parties to provide us with detailed comments on all aspects of the proposed rule. The public comment period will remain open for 120 days, until October 16, 2014. We have submitted your letter to the rulemaking docket, but additional comments can be submitted via any one of these methods:

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jack Kingston U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Kingston:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

Before issuing this proposal, the EPA heard from more than 300 stakeholder groups from around the country to learn more about what programs are already working to reduce carbon pollution. These meetings, with states, utilities, labor unions, nongovernmental organizations, consumer groups, industry, and others, reaffirmed that states are leading the way. The Clean Air Act provides the tools to build on these state actions in ways that will achieve meaningful reductions and recognizes that the way we generate power in this country is diverse, complex and interconnected.

We appreciate your views about the effects of the proposal. As you know, we are currently seeking public comment on the proposal, and we encourage you and all interested parties to provide us with detailed comments on all aspects of the proposed rule. The public comment period will remain open for 120 days, until October 16, 2014. We have submitted your letter to the rulemaking docket, but additional comments can be submitted via any one of these methods:

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Cynthia Lummis U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Lummis:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mile



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Justin Amash U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Amash:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

JAB. P. Mell



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tim Huelskamp U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Huelskamp:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

JAB. P. J.LL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Todd Rokita U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rokita:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Brett Guthrie U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Guthrie:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Vance McAllister U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McAllister:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bill Cassidy U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Cassidy:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Patrick Tiberi U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Tiberi:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steve Stivers U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Stivers:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

JA B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Pete Olson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Olson:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Blake Farenthold U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Farenthold:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mace



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Marsha Blackburn U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Blackburn:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Gregg Harper U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Harper:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Chris Stewart U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Stewart:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Paul Gosar U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gosar:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Luke Messer U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Messer:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 2822 1T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

JAB. P.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ted Yoho U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Yoho:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Stephen Fincher U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Fincher:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Mace



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable David Schweikert U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Schweikert:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Sean Duffy U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Duffy:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mac



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Doug LaMalfa U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman LaMalfa:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Tel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Randy Weber U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Weber:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

JA B. Pell



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Doug Collins U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Collins:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Pel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Markwayne Mullin U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Mullin:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 2822 1T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steve Womack U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Womack:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 2822 1T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mick Mulvaney U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Mulvaney:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mile



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Sam Johnson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Johnson:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steve Southerland U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Southerland:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Trey Gowdy U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gowdy:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

JA B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Charles Boustany U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Boustany:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Raul Labrador U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Labrador:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Billy Long U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Long:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Pell



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Howard Coble U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Coble:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Joe Wilson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Wilson:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

Jag B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tim Griffin U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Griffin:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Alan Nunnelee U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nunnelee:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mark Meadows U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Meadows:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Diane Black U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Black:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

_1-8 3.7.6.1



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bill Johnson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Johnson:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

JA B. Till



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John Duncan U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Duncan:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1 at C3. Tolal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Spencer Bachus U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Bachus:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John Kline U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Kline:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

-J-2 C. (7.()



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable James Lankford U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lankford:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Stevan Pearce U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pearce:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Rob Bishop U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Bishop:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jeff Miller U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Miller:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Lee Terry U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Terry:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable David McKinley U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McKinley:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

-J-4 B. T.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Michael T. McCaul U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McCaul:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steve Scalise U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Scalise:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Robert Aderholt U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Aderholt:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mace



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Doug Lamborn U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Lamborn:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John Fleming, M.D. U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Fleming:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

7 4 6.00



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom McClintock U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McClintock:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mel



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jim Jordan U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Jordan:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mile



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Rice U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rice:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

Before issuing this proposal, the EPA heard from more than 300 stakeholder groups from around the country to learn more about what programs are already working to reduce carbon pollution. These meetings, with states, utilities, labor unions, nongovernmental organizations, consumer groups, industry, and others, reaffirmed that states are leading the way. The Clean Air Act provides the tools to build on these state actions in ways that will achieve meaningful reductions and recognizes that the way we generate power in this country is diverse, complex and interconnected.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 C. T.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Aaron Schock U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Schock:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

Before issuing this proposal, the EPA heard from more than 300 stakeholder groups from around the country to learn more about what programs are already working to reduce carbon pollution. These meetings, with states, utilities, labor unions, nongovernmental organizations, consumer groups, industry, and others, reaffirmed that states are leading the way. The Clean Air Act provides the tools to build on these state actions in ways that will achieve meaningful reductions and recognizes that the way we generate power in this country is diverse, complex and interconnected.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 C. Tell



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steven Palazzo U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Palazzo:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

Before issuing this proposal, the EPA heard from more than 300 stakeholder groups from around the country to learn more about what programs are already working to reduce carbon pollution. These meetings, with states, utilities, labor unions, nongovernmental organizations, consumer groups, and others, reaffirmed that states are leading the way. The Clean Air Act provides the tools to build on these state actions in ways that will achieve meaningful reductions and recognizes that the way generate power in this country is diverse, complex and interconnected.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 C. T.L.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John Carter U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Carter:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

Before issuing this proposal, the EPA heard from more than 300 stakeholder groups from around the country to learn more about what programs are already working to reduce carbon pollution. These meetings, with states, utilities, labor unions, nongovernmental organizations, consumer groups, and others, reaffirmed that states are leading the way. The Clean Air Act provides the tools to build on these state actions in ways that will achieve meaningful reductions and recognizes that the way we generate power in this country is diverse, complex and interconnected.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-2 B. Toll



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jackie Walorski U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Walorski:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

Before issuing this proposal, the EPA heard from more than 300 stakeholder groups from around the country to learn more about what programs are already working to reduce carbon pollution. These meetings, with states, utilities, labor unions, nongovernmental organizations, consumer groups, industry, and others, reaffirmed that states are leading the way. The Clean Air Act provides the tools to build on these state actions in ways that will achieve meaningful reductions and recognizes that the way generate power in this country is diverse, complex and interconnected.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

_/ + C. T.LL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Richard Hudson U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hudson:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

Before issuing this proposal, the EPA heard from more than 300 stakeholder groups from around the country to learn more about what programs are already working to reduce carbon pollution. These meetings, with states, utilities, labor unions, nongovernmental organizations, consumer groups, industry, and others, reaffirmed that states are leading the way. The Clean Air Act provides the tools to build on these state actions in ways that will achieve meaningful reductions and recognizes that the way we generate power in this country is diverse, complex and interconnected.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the
 Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of
 boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ann Wagner U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Wagner:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

Before issuing this proposal, the EPA heard from more than 300 stakeholder groups from around the country to learn more about what programs are already working to reduce carbon pollution. These meetings, with states, utilities, labor unions, nongovernmental organizations, consumer groups, industry, and others, reaffirmed that states are leading the way. The Clean Air Act provides the tools to build on these state actions in ways that will achieve meaningful reductions and recognizes that the way we generate power in this country is diverse, complex and interconnected.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR-2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-8 C. 7-CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steve Stockman U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Stockman:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

Before issuing this proposal, the EPA heard from more than 300 stakeholder groups from around the country to learn more about what programs are already working to reduce carbon pollution. These meetings, with states, utilities, labor unions, nongovernmental organizations, consumer groups, industry, and others, reaffirmed that states are leading the way. The Clean Air Act provides the tools to build on these state actions in ways that will achieve meaningful reductions and recognizes that the way generate power in this country is diverse, complex and interconnected.

- Federal eRulemaking portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- E-mail: <u>A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov</u>. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 in the subject line of the message.
- Fax: Fax your comments to: 202-566-9744. Include docket ID number HQ-OAR-2013-0602 on the cover page.
- Mail: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Mailcode 28221T, Attention Docket ID No. OAR–2013-0602, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center, Room 3334, 1301
 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may contact Josh Lewis in the EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations at lewis.josh@epa.gov or at (202) 564-2095.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP 1 1 2014

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bob Gibbs U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gibbs:

Thank you for your letter of June 12, 2014, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Clean Power Plan for Existing Power Plants that was signed by the Administrator on June 2, 2014, and published in the *Federal Register* on June 18, 2014. The Administrator asked that I respond on her behalf.

Climate change induced by human activities is one of the greatest challenges of our time. It already threatens human health and welfare and our economic well-being, and if left unchecked, it will have devastating impacts on the United States and the planet. Power plants are the largest source of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States, accounting for roughly one-third of all domestic greenhouse gas emissions.

The Clean Power Plan aims to cut energy waste and leverage cleaner energy sources by doing two things. First, it uses a national framework to set achievable state-specific goals to cut carbon pollution per megawatt hour of electricity generated. Second, it empowers the states to chart their own paths to meet their goals. The proposal builds on what states, cities and businesses around the country are already doing to reduce carbon pollution, and when fully implemented in 2030, carbon emissions will be reduced by approximately 30 percent from the power sector across the United States when compared with 2005 levels. In addition, we estimate the proposal will cut the pollution that causes smog and soot by 25 percent, avoiding up to 100,000 asthma attacks and 2,100 heart attacks by 2020.

Before issuing this proposal, the EPA heard from more than 300 stakeholder groups from around the country to learn more about what programs are already working to reduce carbon pollution. These meetings, with states, utilities, labor unions, nongovernmental organizations, consumer groups, and others, reaffirmed that states are leading the way. The Clean Air Act provides the tools to build on these state actions in ways that will achieve meaningful reductions and recognizes that the way generate power in this country is diverse, complex and interconnected.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 23 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bill Flores U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Flores:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Reed, II U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Reed:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Peter Welch U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Welch:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bob Goodlatte U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Goodlatte:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jim Costa U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Costa:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steve Womack U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Womack:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jeff Duncan U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Duncan:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Scott Garrett U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Garrett:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1. B. P.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ryan Zinke U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Zinke:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Lou Barletta U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Barletta:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bill Posey U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Posey:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bradley Byrne U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Byrne:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Robert Bishop U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Bishop:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Glenn Thompson, Jr. U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Thompson:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1. B. R.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Robert Hurt U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hurt:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steve Russell U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Russell:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1-4 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Bruce Westerman U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Westerman:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

JA B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Price U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Price:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Michael T. McCaul U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McCaul:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Joe Heck U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Heck:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Rell



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Garret Graves U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Graves:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Gary Palmer U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Palmer:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Macal



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Joaquin Castro U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Castro:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jim Bridenstine U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Bridenstine:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mia Love U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Love:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Robert J. Dold U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Dold:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom Rice, Jr. U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rice:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Robert Pittenger U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pittenger:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

7 & G. 7.4CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Barbara Comstock U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Comstock:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or-imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

12 B. M.C.

Acting Assistant Administrator



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Dennis Ross U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Ross:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Charles J. Fleischmann U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Fleischmann:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Robert B. Aderholt U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Aderholt:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Cedric Richmond U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Richmond:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mimi Walters U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Walters:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Barry Loudermilk U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Loudermilk:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.4 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Kevin Brady U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Brady:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.4 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Gregg Harper U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Harper:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1. A. C. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Thomas Massie U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Massie:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Brian Babin U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Babin:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Will Hurd U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hurd:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

JA B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Richard Hanna U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hanna:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Doug LaMalfa U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman LaMalfa:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ronald D. DeSantis U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman DeSantis:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ryan Costello U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Costello:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable David P. Roe U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Roe:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Denny Heck U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Heck:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Peter T. King U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman King:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

7 & G. 7.4CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable David Rouzer U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rouzer:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Jeff Miller U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Miller:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Joseph R. Pitts U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pitts:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mark Amodei U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Amodei:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Scott Rigell U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rigell:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Dave Brat U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Brat:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Marc Veasey U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Veasey:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

7 & G. 7.4L



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Frank Guinta U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Guinta:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

JA B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Scott Des Jarlais U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman DesJarlais:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable John Ratcliffe U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Ratcliffe:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable David B. McKinley U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McKinley:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 C. 7.4CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Chris Stewart U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Stewart:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

7 & G. 7.4CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable David Schweikert U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Schweikert:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Steven M. Palazzo U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Palazzo:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Dan Benishek U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Benishek:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mario Diaz-Balart U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Diaz-Balart:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

Jap B. Malah



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Rick Allen U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Allen:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Filemon Vela U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Vela:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Ted Yoho U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Yoho:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

1.4 B. Male



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mike Pompeo U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pompeo:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mill



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Randy Weber U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Weber:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Patrick Meehan, Jr. U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Meehan:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable George Holding U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Holding:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Earl L. "Buddy" Carter U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Carter:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Tom MacArthur U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman MacArthur:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

7 & G. 7.4CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Richard Hudson, Jr. U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hudson:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mach



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Paul Gosar U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gosar:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.C.



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Mike Bishop U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Bishop:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

7 & G. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Evan Jenkins U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Jenkins:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. Mall



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable David G. Valadao U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Valadao:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. M.CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Glenn S. Grothman U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Grothman:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.

Sincerely,

Janet G. McCabe

Acting Assistant Administrator

12 B. 7.4CL



WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

NOV 2 3 2015

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

The Honorable Devin Nunes U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Nunes:

Thank you for your letter of November 4, 2015, to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Gina McCarthy, regarding your concerns that the proposed standards for 2014 - 2016 under the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program fall short of the statutory targets. The Administrator has asked me to respond to you on her behalf.

Under the Clean Air Act, as amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA is required to set annual standards for the RFS program each year. The statute requires the EPA to establish annual percentage standards for cellulosic biofuel, biomass-based diesel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuels that apply to gasoline and diesel produced or imported in a given year.

In our June 10, 2015, proposal we made a preliminary determination that the market would experience significant uncertainty if the EPA were to ignore the constraints on supply and set the standards at the statutory targets, as we expect that there would be widespread shortfalls in supply under those circumstances. The proposal sought to balance two dynamics: Congress's clear intent to increase renewable fuels over time to address climate change and increase energy security, and real-world circumstances that have slowed progress towards such goals. In order to provide the certainty that investors and others in the market need, we proposed using the tools Congress provided to make adjustments to the law's volume targets. Though we proposed using the authority provided by Congress, we nevertheless proposed standards for cellulosic biofuel, advanced biofuel, and total renewable fuel that would result in ambitious, achievable growth in biofuels.